Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,663	HAGEN ET AL.	
E		
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-25</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As regards Huovinen et al, the sequence of producing a homopolypropylene is shown. The further production of polymers in
series or cascade may include other monomers, including the olefins as taught at column 8 (lines 56-68). The particular ratios of
monomer to comonomer are not recited in the instant claims, as alleged. As such, a skilled artisan would have a high level of
expectation of success following the teachings of the reference, using known constituents in know methods to produce
predictable results. The instant claims do not require the production of a homopolypropylene in the first step. Further, applicants have not shown with any comparative testing that a composition produced using the teachings of Huovinen et al would not have
"improved scratch resistance," which phrase in itself appears to be meaningless in context with the claims. Again, there are no
comparisons made on any level. With regard to Pitkanen et al, again it is pointed out that the first step recited in claim 1 does not
exclude a copolymer being produced. for the matrix. Again, there is no comparative testing to conclude any differences. As
regards the reference to Govoni et al, again it is pointed out that the compositional limitations for the ethylene and propylene monomers are not recited. The claims that refere to ethylene and propylene recite a specific amounts of C2 in the EPR formed,
and do not recite monomer inclusion limitations, as argued. Nothing has been shown on the record as regards unexpected
results. All rejections of record are being maintained.
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other: